

# **Initial Periodic Consumption Values Non-Quarter Hourly Meter Readings**

# Response to MIG Feedback on Ver 1.0 of Document

Version#	1.0
Issue Date	December 16 <sup>th</sup> 2004



## **Table of Contents**

Background	3
Response to Feedback	3



### **Background**

The purpose of this document is to provide responses to the written feedback received from MIG in relation to Ver 1.0 of the Initial Periodic Consumption Values Non-Quarter Hourly Meter Readings document.

#### Response to Feedback

Num	Ref	Comment	Response
1	General	All the calculations are done at Timeslot level. From numerous MIG discussions, DR 0056 & WP 0005 suppliers' requirements are for any consumption data to be held at register level rather than at timeslot level. Holding data at timeslot level poses severe problems for suppliers as customer consumption evidence shows that where multiple registers of the same timeslot exist for an mprn the usage factor cannot be directly proportioned across the registers.  DR 0056 has been deferred to post Jan 05 and MIG agreed that this defect request is a priority for post Jan 05 development.  To avoid a large amount of rework when this DR is finally actioned all Periodic Consumption & EUF calculations should be done at register level and then summed to the timeslot level	The relationship between the Initial Default Periodic Consumption value and the Initial Estimated Usage Factor is described in the Briefing Document entitled "Estimation of Non-Quarter Hourly Meter Readings". For initial values there will be no history and therefore no basis to assume anything other than consumption is equally applied across all registers at a given timeslot.  When Periodic Consumption is being calculated on historic consumption data the calculation is performed and stored at register level (please refer to section 2A of the Periodic Consumption and EUF Parameter Values document). Unlike the EUF which is used by Data Aggregation process there is no business requirement to aggregate Periodic Consumption values to timeslot level
2		Standard Profile 7, 8, & 9 all have the same Periodic Consumptions - can you please explain why?	The values that have been calculated have been based on a mapping exercise that matched the consumption figures from sample legacy data to a combination of Duos Group, Load Factor, MCC and Register, and have been averaged at a register level.
3		Section 4 & 5: Can we have a breakdown of these calculations? In particular Section 4 DG5 - MCC01?	The figures for Sections 4 & 5 are the output from the sampling exercise. No further breakdown is available
4		Non requestable MCCs - is there a plan to replace these Non requestable MCCs? If so what is the timeframe	Query has been forwarded to Networks Business for a response through the Suppliers Forum